HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

E. Ruban

THE "IRANIAN FACTOR'S" ROLE IN SHAPING THE FOREIGN POLICY OF THE REPUBLIC OF ARMENIA: HISTORIOGRAPHIC ASPECT

> Working Paper WP21/2021/02 Series WP21 International Relations and Regional Studies

Editor of the Series WP21 "International Relations and Regional Studies" *Ivan Krivushin*

Ruban, E.

The "Iranian Factor's" Role in Shaping the Foreign Policy of the Republic of Armenia: Historiographic Aspect: Working Paper WP21/2021/02 / E. Ruban; National Research University Higher School of Economics. — Electronic text data (520 Kb). — Moscow: Higher School of Economics Publ. House, 2021. — 31 p. (Series WP21 "International Relations and Regional Studies").

The paper analyzes publications in Russian, English, Armenian and Persian devoted to such a political phenomenon as the "Iranian factor" and its role and influence on the formation of the foreign policy of the Republic of Armenia. The author concludes that there is a significant gap in understanding of this important issue.

Keywords: "Iranian factor"; Armenia; foreign policy; historiography

© E. Ruban, 2021

© National Research University Higher School of Economics, 2021 To the date, in Russian, English, Iranian and Armenian historiography there have been no studies on the influence of the "Iranian factor" on the formation of Armenia's foreign policy after 1991.

The "Iranian factor" in this paper refers to the set of Iran's relations with regional and global powers that influence Armenia's foreign policy. Thus, the phrase the "Iranian factor" is broader than the expression "Iran's influence". It does not include only Iran's foreign policy interests vis-à-vis Armenia. The "Iranian factor" implies the influence of Iran as a member of the system of international relations on Armenia. The "Iranian factor" is the foreign policy behavior of Iran, caused by the influence of other states on it. The term "Iranian factor" covers Iran's foreign policy interests in Armenia, as well as the complex of Iran's relations with the countries of the West, Russia and regional states.

The study of the influence of the "Iranian factor" on the foreign policy of Armenia is important for the following reasons: a) both states have a common land border; b) under the Western sanctions against Iran, Armenia as a member of the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU) and as a partner of the European Union (EU) in the program "Eastern Partnership" constitutes Iran's entry into the EU and EEU markets; c) under the bilateral blockade by Turkey from the West and Azerbaijan from the East, Iran for Armenia is something like a "window" to the outside world; d) the absence of any territorial disputes between Armenia and Iran and the fact that the Armenian community minority in Iran is not discriminated neither on religious nor on national grounds reinforces stability of the relations between the two states [Քայբուրդյան, 2012, էջ: 32]; e) Iran acts as a mediator between Armenia and Azerbaijan in the resolution of the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict.

The purpose of this paper is to find out to what extent in domestic and foreign historiography the question of influence of the "Iranian factor" on the formation of the Armenian foreign policy in the period from 1992 to 2020 has been studied.

The most common approach to studying the foreign policy of small states, such as Armenia, is the realist paradigm of international relations. Kenneth Waltz's fundamental work "Theory of International Politics" [Waltz, 1979] explains the foreign policy of states from the perspective of system and structure. The system is the global habitat of the structure. It includes the entire global political environment with all its participants. The structure is how system members are positioned within a system in relation to each other. Armenia shapes its foreign policy within the international political system. Armenia's relations with global and regional

states, on the one hand, and the "Iranian factor", on the other, determine the structure in which the "Iranian factor" influences Armenia's foreign policy-making.

Most contemporary Iranian researchers studying the foreign policy of the South Caucasus and, in particular, Armenia's foreign policy, use the theory of neorealism. Thus, Reza Mahmud Ogli and Amir Hossein Rastami, using Waltz's neorealism concept, analyze Armenia's geopolitical position and Russia's role in the South Caucasus. The authors attempt to answer the question about the security threats facing Russian-Armenian relations in the South Caucasus region [2018، رستمی، رستمی، الوغلی، الوغلی، الوغلی، رستمی، الوغلی، الوغلی

In an article by Iranian researchers Mohammad Arjamand and Keihosrov Dowlatyari [2012 (الرجمند، دولتيارى)], Armenia's foreign policy is interpreted in terms of the geopolitical theory of Thomas Anderson, who studied foreign policy of Caribbean states [Anderson, 1994]. According to Arjamand and Dowlatyari, Armenia has characteristics similar to those of the Caribbean countries, because Armenia: 1) is a small state, 2) has recently become independent. Therefore, Anderson's approach can be applied to the analysis of the foreign policy of Armenia.

Russian political scientist Andrey Suzdaltsev studies the foreign policy behavior of the states formed as a result of the collapse of the USSR. The foreign policy of the post-Soviet countries towards Russia and the West represent a "limitrophe policy" [Suzdaltsev, 2006; 2007]. A.I. Suzdaltsev highlighted the characteristic features of the "limitrophe policy" of the post-Soviet states, which can be divided into two groups based on: a) economic preferences which they receive from Russia; b) their maneuvering between Russia and the Western countries. Armenia is not an exception. In its foreign policy towards Russia and the West, one can see the characteristic features of the "limitrophe policy".

The issue of the foreign policy behavior of small states is addressed in the works of Nikolai Kaveshnikov [Kaveshnikov, 2008] and Irina Kudryashova [Kudryashova, 2008]. Nikolai Kaveshnikov, responding to the question of how small countries survive among great and regional powers, proposed two models of survival strategies for small states: integration and isolation.

Andrey Kondratov [Kondratov, 2011] compared three closely related, but not identical notions: "foreign policy behavior", "foreign policy of a state", "foreign policy".

Andrey Skriba [Skriba, 2014] analyzed balancing and bandwagoning strategies of small states considering the cases of two integration grouping: the EU and the EEU.

The geopolitical aspect of the "Iranian factor" influence on the formation of the foreign policy of Armenia is investigated in the works by J. Zarifyan, M. Arjamand and K. Dowlatyari, B. Shaffer, Sh. Hunter, D. Menashri, A. Iskandaryan.

French researcher of Armenian origin Julien Zarifyan [Zarifyan, 2008; 2009] refutes the assumption of Samuel Huntington about the clash of Western and non-Western civilizations, citing as evidence the friendly relations between Armenia and Iran, which differ from each other culturally and religiously. Zarifyan underlines the fact that there is no conflict of any kind between the two neighbors. He concludes that pragmatic interests outweigh cultural and religious differences. Armenia and Iran separately have strained relations with other states in the region.

Geopolitical component of relations between the states of the South Caucasus is revealed in the article by Brenda Shaffer [Shaffer, 2010]. According to the results of her study, Armenia, Azerbaijan and Georgia build relationships with their diasporas in various countries differently. This depends on the policy of the parent state towards the recipient state. For instance, Yerevan supports the policy of annexation of the Nagorno-Karabakh territory where the bulk of population is Armenians. At the same time, the Armenian government refrains from making any territorial claims the Georgian province Javakheti where Armenians make up the ethnic majority. For, this would lead to the severance with Georgia, through whose territory pass all the communications of Armenia with Russia.

Shirin Hunter [Hunter, 2003], David Menashri [Menashri, 2007] and Alexander Iskandaryan [2018 السكندريان، confirm the primacy of the state interests of Iran over confessional interests, which is important for Christian Armenia. In these papers the foreign policy priorities of Iran referring to Armenia, and the South Caucasus as a whole, were discussed.

Vahan Baiburdyan compares how Iran's geopolitical security changed before and after the collapse of the USSR, and how this affected the Islamic Republic of Iran's foreign policy towards the Armenia. After the collapse of the Soviet Union, Iran and Russia lost their common land border. In the territory between Iran and Russia, the "southern belt" emerged, consisted of the newly formed republics of the South Caucasus and Central Asia [Pujpnipnjulu, 2017, tg: 20–21; 2004, tg: 143]. This space can also be conventionally called the "safety belt" between Iran and Russia. Iran's strategic foreign policy objective after 1991 was to establish friendly relations with the newly formed republics, including Armenia. The reason for this is that good-neighborly relations with the states of the "southern belt" guaranteed Iran a way out of isolation.

R.M. Ogli and A.H. Rastami consider the geopolitical situation of Armenia since 1991 and its relationship with Russia from the perspective of Iran's interests. They take the view that Russia benefits from inter-ethnic conflicts in the territories of the former Soviet republics, including Nagorno-Karabakh. Because, it helps Russia to maintain its influence in the region and limit access to the region of the Western countries [209 196 2018 مراكة على المنافعة والمنافعة والمنافع

Iranian political scientists criticize the Collective Security Treaty Organization (CSTO) for its ineffectiveness in regard to other member states, except Russia. The establishment of the official relations between independent Armenia and Iran coincided with another escalation of the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict. At that time, both newly formed states, Armenia and Azerbaijan, were members of the CSTO. However, this did not help to stop the war between them [Ibid, p. 207].

Mohammad Arjamand and Ehsan Falahi discuss Armenia's role in Iran's foreign and security policy. According to the authors, Iran is interested in friendly relations with Armenia for two main reasons: prevention of Turkey's expansionist policy in the South Caucasus; and the use of Armenia as leverage against Azerbaijan to prevent Azerbaijan from threatening the territorial integrity of Iran [215. ص. 2016، ص. 2016.

Besides that, Sorush Amiri and M.J. Arjamand, E. Falahi agree that, owing to the absence of territorial and inter-ethnic conflicts between Iran and Armenia, Armenian delegations in international organizations abstain from voting against the IRI nuclear program, as well as the imposition of sanctions against the IRI. This plays an important role in the stability of Armenian-Iranian relations [144 : امیری، 2019 میری، 2019 میری).

A significant geopolitical aspect that forms the "Iranian factor" appears to be the situation around the Karabakh conflict between Armenia and Azerbaijan. Under the government of the Soviet Union, the problem of the Karabakh was reduced to a minimum, as this territory was in one single entity — the USSR. But, after its breakup in 1993 the Karabakh question took on a new twist. No clear delimitation and demarcation lines were drawn. The overwhelming majority of the population of the Karabakh were Armenians. However, formally this territory was ceded to Azerbaijan.

The problem of the Karabakh conflict is addressed in the study by Arjamand and Dowlatyari [2012 ارجمند، دولتيارى، The authors write about Iran's perception of the Karabakh conflict, on the one hand as a threat to national security and, on the other hand, as an Azerbaijani opposite to Iranian foreign policy stance in regards rapprochement with the West.

Iranian researchers Yashar Zaki and Ahad Pashalu [139 ص. 2017 إذكى، بإشالو، identify two strategic axes of the participants directly or indirectly involved in the Karabakh conflict. The first axis is horizontal — "East-West". It includes Azerbaijan, Georgia, Turkey, Israel and the USA. The second axis is vertical — "South-North". It includes Iran, Armenia, and Russia. Moreover, J. Zaki and A. Pashalu, analyzing Iran's stance on the Armenia-Azerbaijan conflict, speak about the existence of two levels of policy: "internal requirements" and "external circumstances" [Ibid, p. 144].

Amid Qafari, Amidali Wisinajad, and Mohammad Takkipur [عفارى، ويسى نژد، تقى پور، 107–106 ص. 2012] consider the Karabakh conflict as a threat to Iran's national security. They compare the Karabakh conflict with the civil war in Tajikistan in 1993. And they note that, from Iran's security perspective, the Karabakh war was incomparably more dangerous than the civil war in Tajikistan. The authors cite the main causes of Iran's concern for its national security due to the Armenian-Azerbaijani conflict: the common border with the warring states and the involvement of third countries in the region to settle it.

In addition, they identify another circumstance threatening the internal security of Iran: protests among the Azerbaijani population of the Islamic Republic and Azeri refugees. During the Armenian-Azerbaijani war of 1991–1994, Azeri refugees flowed from the neighboring territory of the Karabakh to Iran. The fact that Iran patronizes Armenia and acts as a reliable guarantee of an "exit" outside the blockade for Armenia caused a series of protests by Azeri-speaking population of Iran. Azerbaijani protests within the IRI escalated into pressure on the Iranian government to support Azeris in the Karabakh war. Thus, the war for Karabakh threatens the internal security of the IRI. In order to stop the flow of Azeri refugees and ease internal protests, the Iranian authorities were forced to allocate funds for the construction of a tent camp for Azeri refugees from the Karabakh in the territory of Azerbaijan adjacent to Iran [عبور، ويسى نژد، تقى].

The basics of the Armenia's foreign policy are explained in the works by A. Iskandaryan, V. Baiburdyan, Ogli and Rastami, Arjamand and Falahi, S. Amiri, A. Shirian, A. Terzyan, Girakosyan, and S. Minasyan.

In his article "Political changes in Armenia: an impact of their consequences on the region and the country's foreign policy", Alexander Iskandaryan [2018 السكندريان،] considered the approaches of Iranian and Armenian experts to the study of Armenia's foreign policy after 2018. Iskandaryan stresses the influence of the Armenian diaspora and lobby on Armenia's foreign policy. In his article, he quotes Iranian political scientist Elaheh Koolaee who underlines Russia's

important role in the South Caucasus geopolitical space and the need for Iran to follow closely Russia's position in the region. Elaheh Koolaee, answering the question why the internal changes in Armenia after Nikol Pashinyan came to power have not led to visible changes in its foreign policy, refers to Armenia's geopolitical constraints and its dependence on three powerful political actors — Russia, the USA and the EU.

Anait Shirinyan [Shirinyan, 2019] considers the prospects of the foreign policy of the Republic of Armenia after the Velvet revolution. In her opinion, there is a dependence of the international and regional status of Armenia, as well as prospects for expanding contacts with other partners, on the ability of Yerevan to limit Russia's excessive influence on the republic. She singled out three partner countries of Armenia — Iran, Georgia, China, the deepening of relations with which is a priority on the agenda of Pashinyan's government. The strengthening of Armenia's relations with these states will make it possible to reduce the geopolitical confrontation between Russia and the West with regard to Armenia.

Garik Keryan [Քեղյան, 2006] links the choice of the foreign policy direction of independent Armenia with which party is in power. Armenia's foreign policy was shaped under the influence of the political course of the current leader of the country and his fellow party on such issues as 1) regional territorial conflicts between Georgia and Abkhazia, Georgia and Ossetia, and the Karabakh dispute; 2) international recognition of the Armenian genocide.

Aram Terzyan [Terzyan, 2016] comes to the conclusion that the first three presidents of the Republic of Armenia built their foreign policy with the goal of strengthening the Armenian identity, although all three, Levon Ter-Petrosyan, Robert Kocharyan, and Serge Sargsyan, held different views on the importance of the issue of national identity (especially in connection with the struggle for the worldwide recognition of the Armenian genocide) to Armenia's foreign policy. According to another Terzyan's study, the personal factor, i.e. the individual attitudes of a decision-maker, is crucial to the formation of the foreign policy of a small state. In the case of Armenia, it is a head of the state.

Tigran Kocharyan [Քոչաոյան, 2011] considers the main task of Armenia's foreign policy to ensure regional security. Today, Territorial conflicts between Georgia ,Abkhazia and South Ossetia and between Armenia and Azerbaijan continue in the South Caucasus. Their neutralization determines the framework of the regional security system.

Political scientist Alen Gevondyan considers Armenia's foreign policy from a similar position [Ղևոնդյան, 2011]. In his monograph "The main issue of the Armenia's national

interests in ensuring the security of the primary international environment", Gevondyan refers the established formulas of Armenia's foreign policy: "good-neighborly relations with all neighboring states", "no eternal friends and no eternal interests" [Վևոնդյան, 2011, էջ։ 114]. The author acknowledges the existence of the two poles in the political class of Armenia: pro-Russian and Euro-Atlantic. The Armenian government was trying to maintain a balance between those poles in order to ensure national security and achieve economic goals. Constantly balancing the pro-Russian and Euro-Atlantic poles, Yerevan relegates its national and foreign policy interests to the background [Վևոնդյան, 2011, էջ։ 117].

Analytical papers of researchers from such think-tanks as the Armenian foundation "Noravank", the Center for Iranian and Eurasian studies, the American-Armenian foundation "Armenian international policy research group", and the European foundation "European strategic intelligence and security center" tries to identify the principles of the Armenian foreign policy. Sergey Minasyan [Minasyan, 2009], a researcher from the "Noravank" foundation, analyzed approaches of Armenian presidents, starting with L. Ter-Petrosyan, to the foreign policy. He comes to the conclusion about the dominance of the doctrine of "complementarity" in the Armenian foreign policy.

Reha Yulmaz [Yulmaz, 2009] in his article makes a comparison of the foreign policy of L. Ter-Petrosyan with that of R. Kocharyan. According to R. Yulmaz, Ter-Petrosyan's policy was characterized by greater pragmatism towards the Karabakh conflict. Ter-Petrosyan called on the Armenians to give up their claims to the territory of Karabakh in order to establish relations with Turkey and Azerbaijan. R. Kocharyan held the diametrically opposite stance.

The works of Vahan Baiburdyan [Քայբուրդյան, 2017] and Alexander Iskandaryan [2018 اسكندريان) consider the interests of Armenia in the South Caucasus region in the context of relations with neighboring countries.

Rafael Avetisyan [Avetisyan, 2011] has analyzed structural factors that influenced the formation of the foreign policy of the Republic of Armenia. In his dissertation, he considered the system of international relations that developed in the South Caucasus region after the collapse of the USSR. The main focus of attention is on the pressure of the structure of world politics on Armenia's foreign policy shaping. The study was carried out within the framework of the theory of neorealism as well as geopolitical concepts. The author argues that the formation of Armenia's foreign policy depends on the nature of its relations with neighboring states. On the basis of the analysis of the 2007 National Security Strategy, R.S. Avetisyan concludes that national security remains a fundamental priority in Armenia's foreign policy. Guided by the concept of

complementarity, the Armenian leadership combines cooperation with the West and cooperation with Russia. The second chapter of his dissertation examines Armenia's relations with neighboring countries. The author emphasizes the importance of Iran for Armenia as an economic partner. Iran appears as a "window" for Armenia into the external environment. According to Avetisyan, future relations between Armenia and Iran will depend on the situation in the structure of world politics.

Arsen Akopyants [Akopyants, 2013] studied the resource base of Armenia's foreign policy, based on the following concepts: a) the "Second world" by P. Hanna, b) historical geopolitical positioning projects by A.A. Ulunyan and c) coordinate systems of the global community by A.I. Neclessa. The author considers the effectiveness of Armenia's foreign policy through the prism of its resource base. He identifies the main intangible and material resources of Armenia. Armenia's intangible resource base includes the democratization process, the transnational Armenian diaspora and internal political mobilization. Material resources include geographical, demographic and economic potential of the country. In the case of Armenia, the lack of material resources is compensated by intangible ones.

The analysis of the influence of Armenia's resource base on its role in the world political process, on the example of its relations with Iran, is of the greatest interest for us in Akopyants' 2013 dissertation. The Armenian community in Iran is a "bridge" between the two countries, while differences in ideology seem to be a divisive factor. However, ideological differences are reimbursed with joint socio-cultural programs.

Dynamics of bilateral Armenian-Iranian relations after 1991 is analyzed in the works of Azerbaijani researcher Elnur Kelbizadeh [Kelbizadeh, 2019; 2020], as well as Iranian political scientists Mehnaz Goudarzi and Nora Qeisari [2009 قيصرى، گودرزى،]. Kelbizadeh gave an overview of Armenian-Iranian relations, using periodization based on Armenian presidential administrations from L. Ter-Petrosyan's up to N. Pashinyan's. M. Goudarzi reviewed the main areas of cooperation between Armenia and Iran. He also analyzed the factors contributing to and impeding the development of cooperation between two states.

In 2013, a comprehensive analysis of Armenian-Iranian relations was published by researchers Claude Monique and William Rasimora [Moniquete, Racimora, 2013]. This analytical work describes not only Armenian-Iranian relations, but also the role of the United States in relations between Armenia and Iran, the role of Iran in relations between Armenia and Azerbaijan, and the mediation role of Iran in the Karabakh conflict resolution.

Following Iran's recognition of the newly formed Republic of Armenia on December 25, 1991, mutual interest in development of trade and economic relations arose. Articles by Lucine Petrosyan [Պետրոսյան, 2008] and Goar Iskandaryan [Իսկանդարյան, 2016] review the development of economic relations between Armenia and Iran after Armenia gained independence.

The study of Iranian interests in the South Caucasus region is the subject of research by both Iranian, Armenian, and Western political scientists. In the foreign policy sphere, Iran's interests can be loosely divided into two areas: the countries of the nearest neighborhood and the Muslim countries over which Iran has been exerting ideological influence. Armenia geographically and historically is a part of the IRI's zone of interests. Iranian researchers Mohammad Reza Majidi and Hossein Zahmatkesh [2013 مجيدى، زحمتكش، note that the Soviet Union during its existence was viewed by Iran as a potential security threat. After the disintegration of the USSR, this threat has gone away. Iran has been able to pursue its interests in Armenia, mainly through cultural ties and economic partnership.

In 1990, with the support of Armenia, a campaign to secede from Azerbaijan began in the Nagorno-Karabakh autonomous region. These developments have affected the relations between Armenia and Turkey. Official contacts between the states have been terminated. In October of 2009 in Zurich, Armenia and Turkey signed two protocols: the first on the establishment of bilateral relations and the second on the establishment of diplomatic relations. Since Armenia is part of the zone of Iran's geopolitical interests, Iran is closely following the changes in the Armenia-Turkey relations.

Iranian authors Elaheh Koolaee and Mehnaz Goudarzi [2015 ﴿ كَالَى الْحَالِي الْحَلِي الْحَلِي الْحَلِي الْحَلِي الْحَلَيْلِي الْحَلِي الْحَلِي الْحَلِي الْح

Sorush Amiri [2019 اميرى analyzed Iran's economic ties with Armenia on the basis of statistics and research literature. In her opinion, Armenia appears as a "bridge" connecting Iran with the Eurasian countries' markets.

Alexander Matveev, in his dissertation [Matveev, 2013], examined the strategy of the Iran's foreign policy in the South Caucasus under the presidency of M. Ahmadinejad (2005–2013). The author introduces his own notion an "impulse of influence of a country on a region". This refers to the degree of influence of a country on other actors in the region. Depending on a number of factors, such as its size, its level of economic development, its degree of economic integration with other countries in the region, and its possession of military power, the strength of its influence is determined. In the third chapter of his dissertation, A.S. Matveev attempted to analyze Iran's foreign policy strategy towards Armenia.

Mohammad Reza Djalili [Djalili, 2002] writes about the rivalry between Iran and Turkey for ideological dominance over the South Caucasus that emerged after the collapse of the USSR. He noted the fact that, despite religious differences, Iran had cooperated with Russia, Armenia and Greece. The author contrasts the Iran-Russia-Armenian-Greece union to a political and economic cooperation of Turkey with the United States, Israel and Azerbaijan.

The corpus of research works on Iran's position in the system of international relations includes studies on Iran's bilateral relations with Russia, the United States, the European Union, Turkey, and Azerbaijan. First of all, scholars are trying to find out what the "Iranian factor" is.

Russian researchers Vladimir Sazhin [Sazhin, 1997; 2001] and Marianna Arunova [Arunova, 2001] share a common view on the main directions of contemporary Russian-Iranian relations. They single out the following: the joint agenda of the two states on Afghanistan, Iran's position on the Russian North Caucasus and the spread of the ideological influence of the IRI over Central Asian countries, mainly in regards of Tajikistan.

For decades, the US' policy towards Iran has been based on sanctions and international isolation. It was studied by Russian analysts on US-Iran relations — R.Y. Kortoev [Kortoev, 2013], M.G. Nosov [Nosov, 2003], A. S. Safonov [Safonov, 2008; 2011], M. Fofanova [Fofanova, 2007].

Relations between Armenia and the United States in the period 2009–2018 are discussed in Goar Iskandaryan's article [Իսկանդարյան, 2018]. She compares the US policy towards Iran's nuclear program under the president Barack Obama (2009–2017) with that of his successor Donald Trump (2017–2021). Obama's presidency was linked with the hopes for a reconciliation

of American-Iranian relations. And there were grounds for that. Under B. Obama, a historic agreement, the JCPOA (Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action), was concluded between Iran and five nuclear-weapon countries, including the USA. However, according to the author, the president Obama deliberately delayed the submission of the JCPOA to the Congress for approval, because he was afraid that the Republican majority would reject it. As a result, the submission of the JCPOA to Congress took place only under the next US president, Donald Trump [Իսկանդարյան, 2018. Էջ: 37].

Relations between Iran and the EU are studied by E.V. Dunaeva [Dunaeva, 2001], A.K. Ivanova [Ivanova, 2017], and A.A. Trofimov [Trofimov, 2003]. In 1992, in Edinburgh, EU leaders decided on a European policy towards Iran, to change the policy of confrontation to that of "critical dialogue". The policy of "critical dialogue" implied criticism of Iran's terrorist activities against Iranian opponents living in Western countries, but at the same time the restoration of trade and economic relations. From now on, the EU policy is at odds with the US hardline stance on Iran.

There are few studies of the Iranian-Azerbaijani relations. One of them is the dissertation of the Azerbaijani political scientist Mirmehdi Aghazada, devoted to the development of the Iranian-Azerbaijani relations from 2003 up to 2018 [Aghazada, 2020]. The author notes that under the presidency of Mahmoud Ahmadinejad (2005–2013), relations between Iran and Azerbaijan have deteriorated. The situation began to improve after the election of the new president of Iran, Hassan Rowhani, in 2015. Considering the origins of the period described in his dissertation, the author refers to an earlier period. In 1990, there were contradictions between the two countries on a number of issues: oil extraction from the Caspian Sea, Iranian mediation in the settlement of the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict, and an increased flow of Western investments into Azerbaijan, which are still relevant today. However, according to the author, the neighbors are united by religious, historical and ethnic affinity.

M. Aghazada proposed his own periodization of the Iranian-Azerbaijani relations within the studied chronological framework. He raised the issue of joint participation of Iran and Azerbaijan in international global and regional organizations. Prospects for cooperation between them in the field of the extraction of energy resources was separately highlighted.

However, in the study of M. Aghazada there are also significant shortcomings. Neither primary sources nor research literature in Persian are used. In addition, the author added the Constitution of Azerbaijan and the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Iran to the source review without explaining the need to analyze the basic laws of the republics.

Existing ethno-territorial issue impedes Iran-Azerbaijan relations. Indeed, the majority of ethnic Azeris live in Iran. Vladimir Mesamed [Mesamed, 2011] notes that there were periods in the history of Iranian-Azerbaijani relations when the issue of the restoration of the Great Azerbaijan was raised. For Iran, this meant an attempt of violating its territorial integrity.

To sum up, it is obvious that in both Russian and foreign historiography there has been gained a certain experience of the research studies indirectly affecting the issue of the survival of the Republic of Armenia in the context of neighboring countries-regional leaders — Iran and Turkey. There are a number of studies on bilateral Armenian-Iranian relations and Iran's role in the settlement of the Karabakh conflict. However, Neither in Russian nor in foreign historiography there are no works analyzing the formation of the foreign policy of independent Armenia from the point of view of the influence of Iran's foreign policy on it.

References

In Russian:

Аветисян Р.С. Армения в структуре современных международных отношений Кавказского региона: автореф. дисс. ... к. полит. н. СПб., 2011. [Avetisyan R.S. Armenia in the structure of modern international relations of the Caucasus region: diss. abstract ... PhD in polit. science. SPb., 2011].

Агазаде М.М. Нагорно-карабахский конфликт в рамках национальных интересов Ирана // Постсоветские исследования. 2019. Т. 2. № 6. С. 1402–1410. [*Aghazada M.M.* Nagorno-Karabakh conflict in the national interest of Iran // Post-Soviet studies. 2019. Vol. 2. № 6. P. 1402–1410].

Агазаде М.М. Азербайджано-иранские отношения: основные направления и особенности (2003–2018 гг.): автореф. дисс. ... к. ист. н. М., 2020. [*Aghazada M.M.* Azerbaijan-Iran relations: the main directions and features (2003–2018): diss. abstract ... PhD in hist. science. Moscow, 2020].

Агазаде М.М. Азербайджано-иранские отношения: предпосылки, основные направления и особенности. М., 2021. 160 с. [*Aghazada M.M.* Azerbaijan-Iran relations: prerequisites, guidelines and features. Moscow, 2021. 160 р.]

Акопянц А.К. Ресурсные факторы участия Республики Армения в мировом политическом процессе: автореф. дисс. ... к. полит. н. Пятигорск, 2013. [Akopyants A.K. Resource factors for the participation of the Republic of Armenia in the world political process: diss. abstract ... PhD in polit. science. Pyatigorsk, 2013].

Андреева Е.С. Интересы Ирана на Южном Кавказе сквозь призму отношений Тегерана с Арменией // Проблемы национальной стратегии. 2019. № 1. С. 110–122. [Andreeva E.S. Iran's interests in the South Caucasus through the prism of Tehran's relations with Armenia // Problems of the national strategy. 2019. № 1. Р. 110–122].

Арешев А.Г. Свободная экономическая зона в Мегри и торгово-экономическое сотрудничество Ирана со странами Южного Кавказа // Труды Института востоковедения РАН. Вып. 15: Россия и Иран пять веков сотрудничества. М., 2018. С. 95–106. [*Areshev A.G.* The Free Economic Zone in Megri and Iran's trade and economic cooperation with the countries

of the South Caucasus // The Works of the Oriental Studies Institute of the RAS. No. 15: Russia and Iran five centuries of cooperation. Moscow, 2018. P. 95–106].

Арунова М.Р. Российско-иранские отношения: диалог и сотрудничество (1999–2001) // Россия на Ближнем Востоке цели, задачи, возможности: Материалы научной конференции. 2001. С. 10–16. [*Arunova M.P.* Russian-Iranian relations: dialogue and cooperation (1999–2001) // Russia in the Middle East goals, challenges, opportunities: Proceedings of the scientific conference. 2001. P. 10–16].

Арунова М.Р. РФ-ИРИ политический диалог 1999–2000 гг. // Иран: Ислам и власть. Сб. науч. тр. / ред. Н.М. Мамедова, М. Санаи. М., 2001. С. 184–192. [*Arunova M.P.* RF-IRI political dialogue 1999–2000 // Iran: Islam and the power. Collection of scientific papers / N.M. Mamedova, M. Sanai (eds). Moscow, 2001. P. 184–192].

Багиров Э.М. Приоритеты внешней политики Ирана и проблемы региональной безопасности на Южном Кавказе на рубеже XX–XXI вв.: автореф. дисс. ... к. ист. н. М., 2006. [*Bagirov E.M.* Iran's foreign policy priorities and regional security issues in the South Caucasus at the turn of the XX–XXI: diss. abstract ... PhD in hist. science. Moscow, 2006].

Бехзади Р. Внешняя политика Ирана в каспийском бассейне. Между национальными интересами и исламистскими авантюрами // Центральная Азия и Кавказ. 2010. Т. 13. № 3. С. 98–108. [*Behzadi R.* Iran's foreign policy in the Caspian Basin. Between national interests and Islamist adventures // Central Asia and the Caucasus. 2010. Vol. 13. № 3. P. 98–108].

Гаджолу М.Г. Политические отношения между Ираном и Европейским союзом: стратегические сферы. 2011 [Электронный ресурс]. URL: https://articlekz.com/article/7881 (дата обращения 12.04.2021). [Gajolu M.G. Political relations between Iran and the European Union: strategic areas. 2011 [Electronic source]. URL: https://articlekz.com/article/7881 (date of the request 12.04.2021)].

Галстян Н. Новая региональная геостратегическая среда Армении: вызовы, возможности и перспективы // Армения в диалоге цивилизаций: Материалы международной научной конференции. Нижний Новгород, 2011. С. 126–134. [Galsztyan N. New Regional Geostrategic Environment of Armenia: Challenges, Opportunities and Perspectives // Armenia in the Dialogue of Civilizations: proceedings of the international scientific conference. Nizhny Novgorod, 2011. P. 126–134].

Дунаева Е.В. Иран и страны Западной Европы: отношения развиваются // Иран: ислам и власть. М., 2001. С. 167–177. [Dunaeva E.V. Iran and Western Europe: relations developing // Iran: Islam and the power. Moscow, 2001. P. 167–177].

Гушер А. Возможна ли ось Москва-Тегеран? // Азия и Африка сегодня. 2001. № 11. С. 29–33. [*Gusher A.* Is the Moscow-Tehran axis possible? // Asia and Africa today. 2001. № 11. Р. 29–33].

Гушер А. Иран и Россия что мешает их дальнейшему сближению // Азия и Африка сегодня. 2007. № 5. С. 62–67. [*Gusher A.* Iran and Russia, which prevents their further convergence // Asia and Africa today. 2007. № 5. Р. 62–67].

Гольцев А.В., Сухих Е.А. Логика развития ядерной политики США в отношении Исламской Республики Иран // Вестник Нижегородского университета им. Н.И. Лобачевского. Сер. Международные отношения. Политология. Регионоведение. 2008. № 3. С. 231–237. [Goltsev A.V., Sukhnikh E.A. The logic of the development of the US nuclear policy towards the Islamic Republic of Iran // Bulletin of the N.I. Lobachevsky University of Nizhny Novgorod. Ser. International relations. Political Science. Regional studies. 2008. № 3. P. 231–237].

Давтян В.С. Газопровод Иран-Армения и энергетические интересы России // Свободная мысль. 2016. № 2. С. 113–118. [Davtyan V.S. Iran-Armenia gas pipeline and energy interests of Russia // Svobodnaya mysl. 2016. № 2. Р. 113–118].

Дудайти А.К. Проблемы кавказской политики Ирана в период вооруженного конфликта в Нагорном Карабахе (1991–1994) // Исторические науки и археология. Гуманитарные и юридические исследования. 2019. № 1. С. 71–75. [Dudaiti A.K. Problems of the Caucasian policy of Iran during the armed conflict in Nagorno-Karabakh (1991–1994) // Historical sciences and archaeology. Humanitarian and legal research. 2019. № 1. Р. 71–75].

Дунаева Е.В., Мамедова Н.М., Сажин В.И. Год после выхода США из ядерной сделки (новые 2019 риски для Ирана). [Электронный pecypc]. URL: https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/god-posle-vyhoda-ssha-iz-yadernoy-sdelki-novye-riski-dlyairana/viewer (дата обращения 12.04.2021). [Dunaeva E.V., Mammedova N.M., Sazhin V.I. A year after the US withdrawal from the nuclear deal (new risks for Iran). 2019 [Electronic sourse]. https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/god-posle-vyhoda-ssha-iz-yadernoy-sdelki-URL: novye-riski-dlya-irana/viewer (date of request: 12.04.2021)].

Иванова А.К. Постсанкционное восстановление экономики на примере торговоэкономических отношений Германии и Ирана. 2017 [Электронный ресурс]. URL: https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/postsanktsionnoe-vosstanovlenie-ekonomiki-na-primeretorgovo-ekonomicheskih-otnosheniy-germanii-i-irana/viewer (дата обращения 12.04.2021). [*Ivanova A.K.* Post-sanctions economic recovery: an example of trade and economic relations between Germany and Iran. 2017 [Electronic sourse]. URL: https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/postsanktsionnoe-vosstanovlenie-ekonomiki-na-primeretorgovo-ekonomicheskih-otnosheniy-germanii-i-irana/viewer (date of request: 12.04.2021].

Зульхариеев А.Ф. Энергетические интересы Ирана в Каспийском регионе // Индекс безопасности. 2010. Т. 16. № 2. С. 45–72. [*Zulkhariev A.F.* Iran's energy interests in the Caspian Region // Security Index. 2010. Vol. 16. № 2. Р. 45–72].

Кавешников Н.Ю. Малые и вредные // Международные процессы. 2008. Т. 6. № 3. С. 84–92. [*Kaveshnikov N.Y.* Small and harmful // International processes. 2008. Vol. 6. № 3. P. 84–92].

Кондратов А. Международное поведение государств // Международные процессы. 2011. T. 9. № 2 (26). C. 93–99. [*Kondratov A.* International conduct of the states // International processes. 2011. Vol. 9. № 2 (26). P. 93–99].

Кортоев Р.Ю. О некоторых аспектах американской внешней политики в отношении Исламской Республики Иран в начале XXI века. 2013 [Электронный ресурс]. URL: https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/o-nekotoryh-aspektah-amerikanskoy-vneshney-politiki-v-otnoshenii-islamskoy-respubliki-iran-v-nachale-xxi-veka/viewer (дата обращения 12.04.2021). [Kortoev R.Y. On some aspects of the American foreign policy towards the Islamic Republic of Iran at the beginning of the XXI century. 2013 [Electronic source]. URL: https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/o-nekotoryh-aspektah-amerikanskoy-vneshney-politiki-v-otnoshenii-islamskoy-respubliki-iran-v-nachale-xxi-veka/viewer (date of request: 12.04.2021)].

Кортышев А.П., Тюменкова А.С. Сотрудничество Республики Армения и Исламской республики Иран в энергетической сфере и интересы России // Вестник Нижегородского университета им. Н.И. Лобачевского. Сер. Международные отношения. Политология. Регионоведение. 2012. № 4 (1). С. 347–351. [Kortyshev A.P., Tyumenkova A.S. Cooperation between the Republic of Armenia and the Islamic Republic of Iran in the energy sphere and the interests of Russia // Bulletin of the N.I. Lobachevsky University of Nizhny Novgorod. Ser. International relations. Political Science. Regional studies. 2012. № 4 (1). Р. 347–351].

Кудряшова И. В. Легко ли быть средневеликим ... // Международные процессы. 2008. Т. 6. № 3 (18). С. 78–83. [*Kurdryashova I.V.* Is it easy to be medium-sized ... // International processes. 2008. Vol. 6. № 3 (18). Р. 78–83].

Кулагина Л.М., Ахмедов В.М. Иран выходит из изоляции // Иран: ислам и власть. М., 2001. С. 149–163. [*Kulagina L.M., Ahmedov V.M.* Iran is coming out from isolation // Iran: Islam and power. Moscow, 2001. P. 149–163].

Макаренко В.В. Кто союзники России?: Ментальность и геополитика: парадоксы политики безопасности России. М., 2000. 252 с. [*Makarenko V.V.* Who are Russia's Allies? Mentality and geopolitics: the paradoxes of Russia's security policy. Moscow, 2000. 252 p.].

Маркаров А.А. Концептуальные подходы и особенности внешнеполитического процесса: основные направления и задачи внешней политики Армении // Постсоветский материк. 2017. № 4 (16). С. 41–57. [*Markarov A.A.* Conceptual approaches and features of the foreign policy process: main directions and challenges of the Armenia's foreign policy // The post-Soviet mainland. 2017. № 4 (16). P. 41–57].

Матвеев А.С. Стратегия и развитие закавказской политики Исламской Республики Иран в период президенства Махмуда Ахмадинежада: автореф. дисс. ... к. ист. н. Нижний Новгород, 2013. 25 р. [*Matveev A.S.* The strategy and development of the Transcaucasian policy of the Islamic Republic of Iran under the presidency of Mahmud Ahmadinejad: diss. abstract ... PhD in hist. science. Nizhny Novgorod, 2013. 25 p.].

Месамед В.И. Ирано-азербайджанские отношения в свете этнического фактора. 2011 [Электронный ресурс]. URL: http://www.iimes.ru/?p=13544 (дата обращения 12.04.2021). [*Mesamed V.I.* The Iranian-Azerbaijani relations in the light of the ethnic factor. 2011. [Electronic source]. URL: http://www.iimes.ru/?p=13544 (date of request: 12.04.2021)].

Минасян С.М. Некоторые концептуальные основы внешней политики РА. 2009. 12 марта [Электронный ресурс]. URL: http://www.noravank.am/rus/articles/security/detail.php? ELEMENT_ID=1999 (дата обращения 12.04.2021). [*Minasyan S.M.* Some conceptual bases of the RA's foreign policy. 12.03.2009 [Electronic source]. URL: http://www.noravank.am/rus/articles/security/detail.php?ELEMENT_ID=1999 (date of request: 12.04.2021)].

Минасян С.М. Внешняя политика постсоветской Армении: 20 лет одновременно на нескольких стульях // Мировая экономика и международные отношения. 2013. № 1.

C. 85–92. [*Minasyan S.M.* Foreign policy of post-Soviet Armenia: 20 years simultaneously in several chairs // World economy and international relations. 2013. № 1. P. 85–92].

Мирский Γ . Иран и США: противостояние на фоне «ядерного кризиса» // Мировая экономика и международные отношения. 2006. № 7. С. 3–14. [*Mirsky G.* Iran and the USA: confrontation in the "nuclear crisis" background // World economy and international relations. 2006. № 7. Р. 3–14].

Носов М.Г. США и Иран: перспективы взаимоотношений // США. Канада: экономика, политика, культура. 2003. № 6. С. 17–29. [*Nosov M.G.* The USA and Iran: relationships perspectives // USA. Canada: economy, politics, culture. 2003. № 6. Р. 17–29].

Сажин В.И. Россия и Иран: проблемы стратегической перспективы // Ближний Восток и Современность. 1997 [Электронный ресурс]. URL: http://book.iimes.su/wp-content/uploads/1997/r97sbor_4.pdf (дата обращения 12.04.2021). [Sazhin V.I. Russia and Iran: the problems of the strategic perspective // Middle East and Modernity. 1997 [Electronic sourse]. URL: http://book.iimes.su/wp-content/uploads/1997/r97sbor_4.pdf (date of request: 12.04.2021)].

Сажин В.И. Россия и Иран: партнерство или стратегический союз? 2001 [Электронный ресурс]. URL: http://book.iimes.su/wp-content/uploads/2001/r2001rus_1.pdf (дата обращения 12.04.2021). [Sazhin V.I. Russia and Iran: partnership or strategic alliance? 2001 [Electronic sourse]. URL: http://book.iimes.su/wp-content/uploads/2001/r2001rus_1.pdf (date of request: 12.04.2021].

Сафаров Р. О иранской ядерной программе. 2007 [Электронный ресурс]. URL: https://www.iran.ru/news/politics/45675/Radzhab_Safarov_O_iranskoy_yadernoy_programme (дата обращения 12.04.2021). [Safarov R. On the Iranian nuclear program. 2007 [Electronic source]. URL: https://www.iran.ru/news/politics/45675/Radzhab_Safarov_O_iranskoy_yadernoy_programme (date of request: 12.04.2021].

Сафонов А.С. Американо-иранские отношения в начальный период деятельности администрации Джорджа Буша-младшего // Вестник Московского государственного областного университета. Сер. История и политические науки. 2008. № 2. С. 90–96. [Safonov A.C. American-Iranian relations in the initial period of the George Bush administration // Bulletin of the Moscow Region State University. Ser. History and Political Sciences. 2008. № 2. Р. 90–96].

Сафонов А.С. Эволюция политики администрации Джорджа Буша-младшего в отношении Ирана // Вестник Российского университета дружбы народов. Сер. Международные отношения. 2011. № 3. С. 94–102. [Safonov A.C. Evolution of the George Bush administration's policy towards Iran // Bulletin of the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia. Ser. International Relations. 2011. № 3. Р. 94–102].

Скриба А.С. Реализм и политика малых государств в XXI веке // Международная политика. 2014. № 3. С. 347–357. [*Skriba A.C.* Realism and politics of the small states in the 21st Century // International Politics. 2014. № 3. Р. 347–357].

Суздальцев А.И. Постсоветское пространство: лимитрофы XXI века. 2006. [Электронный ресурс]. URL: https://centrasia.org/newsA.php?st=1158612840 (дата обращения: 10.01.2021). [Suzdaltsev A.I. Post-Soviet space: the limitrophe of the 21st century. 2006. [Electronic source]. URL: https://centrasia.org/newsA.php?st=1158612840 (date of the request: 10.01.2021)].

Суздальцев А.И. Лимитрофы XXI века. Часть II. 2006 [Электронный ресурс]. URL: https://centrasia.org/newsA.php?st=1159244820 (дата обращения: 10.01.2021). [*Suzdaltsev A.I.* Limitrophes of the 21st Century. Part II. 2006 [Electronic source]. URL: https://centrasia.org/newsA.php?st=1159244820 (date of the request: 10.01.2021)].

Суздальцев А.И. Лимитрофный манифест. 2007 [Электронный ресурс]. URL: https://politoboz.com/content/limitrofnyi-manifest (дата обращения: 10.01.2021). [*Suzdaltsev A.I.* Limitrophe manifest. 2007 [Electronic source]. URL: https://politoboz.com/content/limitrofnyi-manifest (date of the request: 10.01.2021)].

Суздальцев А.И. Постсоветское пространство: уходящая реальность // Мир вокруг России / под ред. С.А. Караганова. 2007. Гл. 4. С. 122–136. [*Suzdaltsev A.I.* Post-Soviet space: departing reality // World around Russia / S.A. Karaganov (ed.). 2007. Ch. 4. P. 122–136].

Суздальцев А.И. Основные политические и социально-экономические проблемы постсоветского пространства // Мировая политика / под ред. С.В. Кортунова. 2007. Гл. 16. С. 396–408. [*Suzdaltsev A.I.* The main political and socio-economic problems of the Post-Soviet area // World politics / S.V. Kortunov (ed.). 2007. Ch. 16. P. 396–408].

Трофимов А. Об отношениях Исламской Республики Иран с государствами Евросоюза. 2003 [Электронный ресурс]. URL: http://www.iimes.ru/?p=2923 (дата обращения 12.04.2021). [*Trofimov A.* Relations of the Islamic Republic of Iran with the states of the European

Union. 2003 [Electronic source]. URL: http://www.iimes.ru/?p=2923 (date of the request: 12.04.2021].

Фофанова М. Ирано-американские отношения: тупик или бег по кругу? // Азия и Африка сегодня. 2007. № 9. С. 28–32. [*Fofanova M.* Iran-American relations: deadlock or a running laps around? // Asia and Africa today. 2007. № 9. Р. 28–32].

Ханалиев Н.У. Особенности американо-иранских отношений на современном этапе // Вестник Московского государственного областного университета. Сер. История и политические науки. 2020. № 1. С. 187–198. [Khanaliev N.U. Features of American-Iranian relations at the current stage // Bulletin of the Moscow Region State University. Ser. History and Political Science. 2020. № 1. Р. 187–198].

Хосейни С.Я. Зона свободной торговли между ЕАЭС и Ираном // Труды Института востоковедения РАН. Вып. 15: Россия и Иран пять веков сотрудничества. М., 2018. С. 157–168. [*Hosseini S.J.* Free trade area between the EEU and Iran // The Works of the Oriental Studies Institute of the RAS. No. 15: Russia and Iran five centuries of cooperation. Moscow, 2018. P. 157–168].

Цымбурский В.Л. Народы между цивилизациями // Pro et Contra. 1997. Т. 2. № 3. С. 170–174. [*Tsimbursky V.L.* Peoples among civilizations // Pro et Contra. 1997. Vol. 2. № 3. Р. 170–174].

Юнусов А. Азербайджано-иранские отношения и проблема региональной безопасности на Кавказе // Пространство и время в мировой политике и международных отношениях: Материалы 4 Конвента РАМИ. В 10 т. Т. 8: Новые тенденции в мировой политике. М., 2007. С. 83–118. [*Yunusov A.* Azerbaijan-Iran relations and the problem of regional security in the Caucasus // Space and time in world politics and international relations: Materials 4 of the Convention RAMI. In 10 vol. Vol. 8: New developments in world politics. Moscow, 2007. P. 83–118].

In English:

Anderson T. Geopolitics of the Caribbean: ministates in a wider world. New York, 1984. 175 p.

Asatyan G.S. Armenia and security issues in the South Caucasus // Connections. 2002. Vol. 1. No. 3. P. 21–30.

Branch A. Armenia and the South Caucasus: A New Security Environment // Connections. 2018. Vol. 17. No. 2.

Burns W.J., *Sullivan J*. The smart way to get tough with Iran // Carnegie endowment for international peace. 21.09.2017 [Electronic source]. URL: https://carnegieendowment.org/2017/09/21/smart-way-to-get-tough-with-iran-pub-73185 (date of request: 12.04.2021).

Djalili M.R. Iran and the Caucasus: Maintaining Some Pragmatism // Partnership for Peace Consortium of Defense Academies and Security Studies Institutes. 2002. No. 3. P. 49–58.

Esfahani M.K. Iran's Foreign Policy in the South Caucasus: Relations with Azerbaijan and Armenia. London, 2019. 318 p.

Giragosian R. Repositioning Armenian security and foreign policy within a region at risk // Armenian international policy research group. 2006. Working paper No. 06/07. 16 p.

Giragosian R. The third powers and Armenia // Third powers in Europe's East / N. Popescu, S. Secrieru (eds). (Chaillot papers. No. 144). Paris, 2018. P. 93–100.

Goudarzi M.R., Lashaki A.B. Effects of regional and transregional players' policies in continuation of tension between Iran and Azerbaijan // Central Asian and the Caucasus. 2013. Vol. 14. No. 4. P. 48–57.

Hunter Sh. Iran's Pragmatic Regional Policy // Journal of International Affairs. 2003. Vol. 56. No. 2. P. 133–147.

Jalilvand D.R. EU-Iran Relations: Iranian Perceptions and European Policy. 2019 [Электронный ресурс]. URL: http://podem.org.tr/en/researches/eu-iran-relations-iranian-perceptions-and-european-policy/ (date of request: 12.04.2021).

Iskandaryan A. Armenia's foreign policy: where values meet constrains // Armenia's foreign and domestic politics: development trends / M. Palonkorpi, A. Iskandaryan (eds). Yerevan, 2013. P. 6–17.

Kelibzadeh E.H. Armenian policy of the Islamic Republic of Iran after 1991: geopolitical conditions and realities // Austrian journal of humanities and social sciences. 2020. No. 5–6. P. 3–10.

Kelbizadeh E.H. The dynamics of the development of Armenian-Iranian relations in the Post-Soviet period // History, archaeology and ethnography of the Caucasus. 2020. Vol. 15. No. 4. P. 639–651.

Kassab H.S. Weak states in international relations theory: the cases of Armenia, St. Kitts and Nevis, Lebanon, and Cambodia. New York, 2015. 250 p.

Koolaee E., Hafezian H.M. The Islamic Republic of Iran and the South Caucasus Republics // Iranian Studies. Vol. 43. No. 3. 2010. P. 391–409.

Lynn-Jones S.M. Offence-Defense Theory and its Critics // Security Studies. 1995. Vol. 4. No. 4. P. 691–668.

Menashri D. Iran's regional policy: between radicalism and pragmatism // Journal of international affairs. 2007. Vol. 60. No. 2. P. 153–167.

Moradi M. Caspian pipeline politics and Iran-EU relations // UNISCI Discussion Papers. 2006. No. 10. P. 173–184.

Moniquete C., Racimora W. The Armenian-Iran relationship. Strategic implication for security in the South Caucasus region // European strategic intelligence and security center / C. Moniquete, W. Racimora (eds). 2013. 67 p.

Perteghella A. The Transatlantic divergence and EU-Iran relations: a litmus test for European sovereignty // Iran looking East. An alternative to the EU / A. Perteghella (ed.). Milano, 2019. P. 102–121.

Shaffer B. Foreign policies of the states of the Caucasus: Evolution in the Post-Soviet period // International relations. 2010. Vol. 7. No. 26. P. 51–65.

Souleimanov E., Breuer J., Breuer M. Alte und neue Ansprüche: Die Politik des Iran im Südkaukasus // Grenzland: Konflikt und Kooperation im Südkaukasus. 2015. Vol. 65. No. 7/10. P. 442–457.

Schweller R. Bandwagoning for Profit: Bringing the Revisionist State Back in // International Security. 1994. Vol. 19. No. 1. P. 72–107.

Small but perfectly formed. 3.01.1998. [Electronic source]. URL: http://faculty.washington.edu/jwh/349art1.htm (date of request: 10.01.2021).

Terzyan A. The evolution of Armenia's foreign policy identity // Values and identity as sources of foreign policy in Armenia and Georgia / K. Kakachia, A. Markarov (eds). Tbilisi, 2016. P. 145–183.

Tharoor I. The U.S. and Iran are heading toward crisis // The Washington post 19.07.2017 [Electronic source]. URL: https:// www.washingtonpost.com/news/worldviews/wp/2017/07/19/the-u-s-and-iran-are-headintowardcrisis/?noredirect=on&utm_term=.cb5573d 31106 (date of request: 12.04.2021).

Waltz K. Theory of international politics. Menlo Park (CA), 1979. 251 p.

Waltz K. Structural realism after the Cold war // International security. 2000. Vol. 25 No. 1. P. 5–41.

Zarifian J. Christian Armenia, Islamic Iran: Two (not so) strange companions geopolitical stakes and significance of a special relationship // Iran and the Caucasus. 2008. Vol. 12 No. 1. P. 123–151.

Zarifian J. Iran and Its Two Neighbours Armenia and Azerbaijan: Resuming Relationships under America's Suspicious Eyes // Iran and the Caucasus. 2009. Vol. 13 No. 2. P. 383–399.

In Armenian:

Բայբուրդյան Վ։ Ա։ Հայ-իրանական հարաբերությունները 1991–1998 թթ. // Հայացք երկու տասնյակ հեռավորությունից / «Հայաստան-Իրան. 20 տարի համագործակցության ճանապարհով»՝ միջազգային գիտաժողովի նյութեր, Երևան, 2012։ Էջ։ 15–54։ [*Baiburdyan V.A.* Armenian-Iranian relations 1991–1998 // A look two decades later. "Armenia: 20 years of cooperation": Proceedings of the international scientific conference. Yerevan, 2012. P. 15–54].

Բայբուրդյան Վ։ *Ա*։ Իրան-Հայաստան-Վրաստան եռակողմ համագործակցությունը և նրա հեռանկարները // Իրան-Հայաստան-Վրաստան. Խաղաղ հարևանության և զարգացման տեսլականներ՝ միջազգային գիտաժողովի նյութերի ժողովածու. Երևան, 2017։ Էջ։ 19−28։ [*Baiburdyan V. A.* Iran-Armenia-Georgia: trilateral cooperation and its prospects // Iran-Armenia-Georgia: reflections on peaceful neighborness and development: Proceedings of the international conference. Yerevan, 2017. P. 19–28].

Բայբուրդյան Վ։ Ա։ Հայաստանի Հանրապետության տեղն ու դերը Իրանի Իսլամական Հանրապետության տարածաշրջանային քաղաքականության ոլորտում // «Հայագիտության արդի վիճակը և զարգացման հեռանկարները»՝ միջազգային

համաժողովի զեկուցումների ժողովածու. Երևան, 2004։ Էջ։ 143–148։ [Baiburdyan V.A. A place and a role of the Republic of Armenia in the regional policy of the Islamic Republic of Iran // Current situation of Armenia and prospects for its development: Reports of the international conference. Yerevan, 2004. P. 143–148].

Գալստյան Ն։ Փոքր պետությունների արտաքին քաղաքական վարքի հիմնական հատկանիշներն ու պատճառները // Միջազգային հարաբերություններ. Երևան, 2019։ Էջ։ 3–16: [*Galstyan N*. The main characteristics and the reasons for a conduct of small states in foreign policy // International relations. 2019. № 2 (29). P. 3–16].

Իսկանդարյան Գ։ Մ։ ՄԱՆ-Իրան քաղաքականության շրջափոխումը 2009–2018 թթ։ // Բանբեր Երևանի համալսարանի / Միջազգային հարաբերություններ, Քաղաքագիտություն։ 2018։ № 2 (26)։ Էջ։ 30–42։ [*Iskandaryan G. M.* Blockade of the political relations between the United States of America and Iran 2009–2018 // Bulletin of the Yerevan State University. Ser. International relations. Politics. 2018. № 2 (26). Р. 30–42].

Իսկանդարյան Գ։ Մ։ Հայաստան-Իրան տնտեսական հարաբերությունները և զարգացման հեռանկարները // Ժամանակակից Եվրասիա։ 2016։ № 5 (1)։ Էջ։ 21–33։ [Iskandaryan G.M. Economic relations between Armenia and Iran and the prospects for development // Modern Eurasia. 2016. № 5 (1). Р. 21–33].

Իսկանդարյան Գ։ Մ։ Հայաստան-Իրան քաղաքական հարաբերությունների ձևավորման շուրջ // Արևելագիտությունը Հայաստանում։ 2015։ Հատոր 1։ Էջ։ 91–105։ [*Iskandaryan G. M.* On the formation of Armenia-Iran political relations // Orientalism in Armenia. 2015. Vol. 1. P. 91–105].

Հայոց պատմություն՝ հնագույն ժամանակներից մինչև մեր օրերը / Պրոֆ։ Հր։ Ա։ Միմոնյանի խմբագրությամբ։ Երևան, 2012։ 874 էջ։ [History of Armenia: from ancient times to present days / H.R. Simonyan (ed.). Yerevan, 2012. 874 p.].

Հեյդարի Մ։ Հայ-Իրանական ներդրումային համագործակցությունը // Այլընտրանք գիտական հանդես։ 2016։ № 4։ Էջ։ 297–304։ [*Heidari M.* Armenian-Iranian investment partnership // Alternative. 2016. № 4. Р. 297–304].

Հովհաննիսյան Ա։ Կ։ ԻԻՀ ռազմական ներուժը հավանական պատերազմի դեպքում // Ժամանակակից Եվրասիա։ № I (1)։ 2012. Էջ։ 112–118։ [Ovanesyan A.K. Military capabilities of the Islamic Republic of Iran in case of possible war // Modern Eurasia. № 1 (1). 2012. P. 112– 118]. *Չևոնդյան Ա։* Ազգային շահերի հիմնահարցը Հայաստանի Հանրապետության անվտանգության ապահովման առաջնային միջավայրում։ Երևան, 2011։ 220 է։ [*Gevondyan A.* The main issue of the national interests of the Republic of Armenia in ensuring security in the priority international environment. Yerevan, 2011. 220 p.].

Մկրտչյան Կ։ Գ։ Հայ-Իրանական հարաբերությունները 1991–2005 թթ.՝ ատենախոսության սեղմագիր պատմական գիտւոթյունների թեկնածուի գիտական աստիճանի հայցման։ 00.01 - Հայոց պատմությունն։ Երևան, 2014։ 24 էջ։ [Mkrtchan K.G. Armenian-Iranian relations 1991–2005։ diss. abstract ... PhD in hist. science. Yerevan, 2014. 24 p.].

Պետրոսյան Լ։ Հայաստան-Իրան տնտեսական հարաբերությունները (1991–2008 թթ.) // Բանբեր Երևանի համալսարանի / Միջազգային հարաբերություններ, Քաղաքագիտություն։ № 2 (20)։ Էջ։ 36–42։ [Petrosyan L. Economic relations between Armenia and Iran (1991–2008) // Bulletin of the Yerevan State University. Ser. International relations, political science. № 2 (20). 2016. P. 36–42].

Ձեոյան Գ։ Մ։ Հաիաստանի Հանրապետության կուսակցությունները և արտաքին քաղաքականությունը // Քանբեր Երևանի համալսարանի։ № 2 (119)։ 2006։ Էջ։ 3–11։ [*Keryan G.M.* Foreign policy and political parties of the Republic of Armenia // Bulletin of the Yerevan State University. № 2 (119). 2006. Р. 3–11].

Քոչաոյան S: Հայաստանը տարածաշրջանային և միջազգային անվտանգության համատեքստում // Բանբեր Երևանի համալսարանի / Միջազգային հարաբերություններ, Քաղաքագիտություն № 135.6: 2011: Էջ։ 3–11: [Kocharyan T. Armenia in the context of regional and international security // Bulletin of the Yerevan State University. Ser. International relations. Political science. № 135.6. 2011. P. 3–11].

Քոչառյան S: Հայաստանի Հանրապետության ռազմաքաղաքական կապերը հարևան երկրների հետ // Բանբեր Երևանի համալսարանի / Միջազգային հարաբերություններ, Քաղաքագիտություն։ № 140.6: 2013: Էջ։ 10–16: [Kocharyan T. Military-political ties of the Republic of Armenia with neighboring countries // Bulletin of the Yerevan State University. Ser. International relations. Political science. № 140.6. 2013. P. 10–16].

In Persian:

ارجمند محمد جعفر جوادی، دولتیاری یزدان کیخسری تحلیل ژؤپلیتیکی روابط ایران و ارمنستان در چهارچوب تؤریک اندرسون و طرح یک همگرایی منطقه ای بعد از فرایند عادی سازی روابط میان ارمنستان و ترکیه // فصلنامه ژؤپلیتیک. [Arjamand M.J.J., Doulatari I.K. Geopolitical صص. 223–1391. تابستان. سال هشتم. شماره 2

analysis of the Iranian-Armenian relations within the framework of Anderson theory and the regional convergence plan following the process of normalization of relations between Armenia and Turkey // Geopolitics. 2012. Vol. 8. № 2. P. 192–223].

امیری سروش جایگاه ار منستان در دیپلماسی مرزی جمهوری اسلامی ایران // پژو هش نامه مطالعات مرزی. 1398 بهار. Amiri~S. The role of Armenia in a border diplomacy of the Islamic Republic of Iran // Border studies. 2019. Vol. 7. № 1. P. 133–147].

اسكندریان الکساندر تحولات سیاسی ار منستان: پیامد های آن در منطقه و تاثیر آن بر سیاست خارجی این کشور // مرکز مهر مطالعات اور اسیای مرکزی با همکاری بنیاد مطالعات قفقاز و انجمن ایر انی مطالعات منطقه ای: نشست تخصصی. 30 مهر ... 20 .1397 [Iskandaryan A. Political changes in Armenia: impact of their consequences on the region and the country's foreign policy // Central Eurasian Research Centre in cooperation with the Caucasus Research Foundation and the Iranian Society for Regional Studies: Proceedings of the special session. 22.10.2018. 20 p.].

نكى ياشار، پاشالو احد عملكرد ميانجى گرى جمهورى اسلامى ايران در بحران ژوپليتيک قره باغ // جغرافيا. فصلنامه .150–133 علمى-پژوهشى انجمن جغرافياى ايران. سال پانزدهم. شماره 53. 1396 تابستان. صص. 133–150–138 علمى-پژوهشى انجمن جغرافياى ايران. سال پانزدهم. شماره 53. 1396 تابستان. صص. 133–130 ايران. سال پانزدهم. شماره 53. Registry ايران. سال پانزدهم. شماره 53. P. 133–150].

قیصری نورا، گودرزی مهناز روابط ایران و ارمنستان: فرصت ها و موانع // مطالعات اوراسیای / مرکز مطالعات عالی 141–121 مرکز مطالعات عالی [Qeisari N., Goudarzi M.] بین المللی, دانشکده حقوق و علوم سیاسی. سال دوم. شماره 3. 1387. صص. 1711–171 المللی, دانشکده حقوق و علوم سیاسی. سال دوم. شماره 3. P. 121–144].

تولای الهه، گودرزی مهناز تاثیر تحولات روابط ارمنستان و ترکیه بر روابط ارمنستان و ایران // فصلنامه ژؤپلتیک. سال .70–38 . ایران // فصلنامه ژوپلتیک. سال .70–38 . ایران // فیلان .70–

Рубан, Э. Е.

Роль «иранского фактора» в формировании внешней политики Республики Армения: историографический аспект [Электронный ресурс]: препринт WP21/2021/02 / Э. Е. Рубан; Нац. исслед. ун-т «Высшая школа экономики». — Электрон. текст. дан. (520 Кб). — М.: Изд. дом Высшей школы экономики, 2021. — 31 с. (Серия WP21 «Международные отношения и зарубежные региональные исследования»). (На англ. яз.)

В работе анализируются отечественные и зарубежные (англоязычные, армянои персоязычные) исследования, посвященные такому политическому феномену, как «иранский фактор», его роли и влиянию на формирование внешней политики Республики Армения. Автор приходит к выводу, что как в отечественной, так и в зарубежной литературе существует пробел знаний о влиянии Ирана на внешнюю политику независимой Армении.

Ключевые слова: «Иранский фактор»; Армения; внешняя политика; историография

Рубан Эмилия Евгеньевна, аспирант Департамента международных отношений НИУ ВШЭ; E-mail: emilina99@mail.ru

Препринты Национального исследовательского университета «Высшая школа экономики» размещаются по адресу: http://www.hse.ru/org/hse/wp

Препринт WP21/2021/02 Серия WP21 Международные отношения и зарубежные региональные исследования

Рубан Эмилия Евгеньевна

Роль «иранского фактора» в формировании внешней политики Республики Армения: историографический аспект

(на английском языке)

Изд. № 2494